

Export processing zones and corporate environmental performance in emerging economies: The case of the oil, gas, and chemical sectors of Trinidad and Tobago

Kalim U. Shah · Jorge E. Rivera

Published online: 26 March 2008
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC. 2008

Erratum to: Policy Sci DOI 10.1007/s11077-007-9045-8

In the process of compressing this table for publication, coefficient values in Models 1 and 2 were shifted and hence do not correspond to the ones discussed by authors in the text of the article.

Table 4 Corporate environmental performance and firm characteristics, OLS regression models

	Model 1 (Reference group: Firms outside EPZs)	Model 2 (Reference group: Firms inside private EPZs)
Constant	26.156*** (6.37)	29.762*** (6.64)
Location inside EPZs	3.518 [^] (1.76)	
Location outside EPZs		1.024 (0.43)
State EPZ		9.224*** (3.25)
Log Size	3.938*** (3.64)	3.212** (3.01)
Industry sector	0.069 (0.03)	0.314 (0.15)
Association membership	5.210* (2.25)	3.763 [^] (1.66)
Foreign market dependence	0.129*** (3.79)	0.092** (2.67)
Foreign joint venture	0.067 (1.74)	0.082* (2.19)

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.1007/s11077-007-9045-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11077-007-9045-8).

K. U. Shah
Department of Environmental Science and Policy, George Mason University, David King Hall,
Fairfax, VA 22030, USA
e-mail: kshah2@gmu.edu

J. E. Rivera (✉)
Department of Strategic Management and Public Policy, The George Washington University,
Funger Hall 615, 2201 G Street, NW Washington, DC 20052, USA
e-mail: jrivera@gwu.edu

Table 4 continued

	Model 1 (Reference group: Firms outside EPZs)	Model 2 (Reference group: Firms inside private EPZs)
State joint venture	0.001 (0.03)	−0.002 (−0.04)
Urban Community	2.023 (0.95)	1.510 (0.74)
<i>N</i>	131	131
<i>F</i> -value	9.380***	10.170***
<i>R</i> ²	0.381	0.431
Adj.- <i>R</i> ²	0.340	0.388

t-values in parentheses

*** $p < 0.001$; ** $p < 0.01$; * $p < 0.05$; ^ $p < 0.1$